

## CITY GROWTH AND REGENERATION COMMITTEE

		Identifying and managing risk in the City Centre Regeneration &					
Subject:		Investment Strategy (CCRIS)					
Dat	e:	11 January 2017					
Rej	porting Officer:	Nuala Gallagher, Director of City Centre Regeneration					
Coi	ntact Officer:	Christopher McCracken, Programme Manager					
ls tl	nis report restricted?		Yes	No.	0	Х	
ls tl	ne decision eligible fo	r Call-in?	Yes	X N	0		
1.0	Purpose of Report						
1.1	The purpose is to:  • brief Committe  • set out the ac	isks to the City Centre Regeneration and I be members about these risks; ions required to manage these; and becific roles or actions required by Committe		egy (CCF	RIS)		
1.2	programme individua separate action plans	o note that this report highlights programm projects will also have specific risks and is . Although project SRO's are accountable to project risks on a monthly basis to ensure e.	ssues, which wil for risks, the Cit	I require y Centre			
2.0	Recommendations						
2.1	been put in place	ted to; at could impact on the delivery of CCRIS; to; and the additional risk actions that are resolved, but the target is to lower this risk to	equired. The pro	gramme i	is		

of the action plan and;

 Note that the risk management plan will be implemented over the next four months, and an update on delivery will be brought to Committee in May 2017.

#### 3.0 | Main Report

#### Background

3.1 In August 2016 Chief Officers undertook a comprehensive risk review. Sixteen corporate risks were identified, including "Fail to deliver the CCRIS" (see **Appendix 1** for the corporate risk map).

### 3.2 Risk Causes

There are both internal and external factors that could impact the delivery of the programme. These include:

- a) Market failure / economic downturn
- b) Lack of buy-in / financial support from key external stakeholders
- c) Lack of clear land use policy
- d) Insufficient or ineffective use of resources
- e) Failure to comply with legal regulations e.g. State Aid
- f) Reputational damage due to lack of good governance
- g) Failure to properly define or measure outcomes.

# 3.3 Risk Controls

A number of controls are currently in place to manage these risks including an approved strategy that sets out a clear direction of travel; a robust governance structure, including a monthly programme Board chaired by CX; and legal / policy processes around procurement and economic appraisals.

3.4 There are also a number of sources of assurance, including economic data, external stakeholder groups / Project Boards; and programme and project plans with milestones and financial assessments.

#### **Proposal**

To manage the risks that have been identified, and to lower the overall CCRIS risk rating from high to significant, the following risk actions are proposed.

Key Actions to be taken		Action Owner	Action Updater	Implementation
				Date
Action title:	City Centre Investment Fund			
	(risk causes A & D)			
Action	Scope and analyse potential	Ronan Cregan /	TBC	31 March 2017
description:	areas of market failure, and	Nuala Gallagher		
	structure the city centre			
	investment fund to facilitate			
	effective interventions.			
Action title:	Governance / Stakeholder			
	Engagement (risk cause B)		Chris McCracken	
Action	Risk / issue log collated and	Nuala Gallagher		31 March 2017
description:	maintained for City Centre			
	Programme Board; Advisory			
	Board; and at Project Level.			
Action title:	Planning Protocol		Chris McCracken	
	(risk causes B & C)			31 March 2017
Action	Establish and agree a protocol	- Nuala Gallagher		
description:	with the Council's Planning			
<b>P</b> 3.23.	Service for consultation on City			
	Centre planning applications			
	(including pre-application			
	discussions)			
Action title:	Development of City Centre			
	Team (risk cause D)	Nuolo Calla -la -	Charin	21 March 2017
Action	Establish permanent team to	- Nuala Gallagher	Chris McCracken	31 March 2017
description:	deliver strategy (with interim			
•	solution of additional			
	consultancy support)			

Action title:	Training & Guidance			
	(risk cause E)	Nuala Gallagher	Chris McCracken	31 March 2017
Action	Appropriate training to be			
description:	implemented at Board and			
·	team levels.			
	Qualified guidance (e.g. State			
	Aid) to be arranged.			
Action title:	Review and Re-enforce Codes			
Action title.	of Conduct / Guidance for			
	Officers (risk cause F)			
Action	Review and Re-enforce Codes of	Jill Minne	ТВС	31 March 201
description:	Conduct / Guidance for Officers			
	involved in consultation /			
	decision making relating to			
	Regeneration and Investment			
	Strategy and related			
	interventions			
Action title:	Outcomes (risk cause G)			
	Need to develop a means of	Nuala Gallagher	Chris	31 March 201
	Need to develop a means of		McCracken	
	measuring the success of the			
	programme (linked to wider Belfast Agenda)			

### Finance and Resource Implications

- The risk action plan will be led by the City Centre Development Team, and managed from within existing resources.
- 3.7 Additional consultancy support will be required to scope market failure and to determine outcomes, including potential assessment of economic benefits. These costs will be met from within the existing City Centre Development budgets.

	Equality and Good Relations Implications
3.8	None identified.
4.0	Appendices - Documents Attached
	Appendix 1 – Corporate Risk Map, Current Risk Assessment